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This Technical Note contains the extended summary of the January 1990 presentation made at the Cloud Impacts on DOD Operations and Systems 1989/90 Conference at the Naval Post Graduate School, Monterey, California.

SUMMARY

Responding to a well recognized need by many in both the modeling and operational communities for an improved capability in the collection and assessment of whole sky cloud characteristics, as well as sector visibility variabilities and statistics, a new generation of video based imaging systems has been developed and fielded by the Marine Physical Laboratory. One of these systems, the Whole Sky Imager, has been deployed at several widely separated portions of the United States, and has gathered several million images appropriate for determining cloud cover at very high spatial and temporal resolution. Cloud cover estimates derived from a 7-month sample of these cloud images shows very good agreement with observed sky cover amounts. The capabilities of the Whole Sky Imager and the other imaging systems is discussed, followed by an overview of the current status and quality of the WSI data base.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

A family of imaging systems for use in automated cloud assessment and sector visibility determination has been developed by the Marine Physical Laboratory of Scripps Institution of Oceanography (Johnson, 1989). This paper will give a brief overview of these systems, including the Whole Sky Imager (WSI) for long term cloud studies, the Real Time Cloud system for on-line operational support, and the Horizon Scanning Imager (HSI) for sector visibility determinations. Each of these systems is an automated unit operating under microcomputer control, gathering digital imagery suitable for automated processing and analysis.

The WSI systems have been operating at several locations during the last year, acquiring images once a minute, 12 hours a day. These data are archived and subsequently converted to cloud/no cloud decision images. The resulting cloud database can be utilized for a variety of applications including model evaluation and development (Hering, 1989). We will review the acquisition and processing of the WSI cloud database, and discuss some of the emerging statistical relations from the WSI cloud determinations.

2.0 AUTOMATED SYSTEMS FOR CLOUD ASSESSMENT AND VISIBILITY DETERMINATION

2.1 Whole Sky Imager

The Whole Sky Imager (WSI) is a ground-based electronic imaging system, which monitors the upper hemisphere. It is a passive, i.e. non-emissive system, which acquires calibrated multi-spectral images of the sky dome. The system, shown in Fig. 1, views the sky through a series of spectral and neutral density filters, using a fisheye lens to acquire most of the sky dome. A fixed gain CID (charge injection device) solid state camera is utilized, and a full set of radiometric and geometric calibrations are acquired prior to fielding the system. Data are acquired in 512 x 480 format, which yields 1/3 degree spatial resolution. This corresponds to a 17 meter footprint, for a cloud layer at 3 km height.

In the field, cloud images are acquired under control by an IBM AT-class microcomputer. This is a stand-alone unit, requiring essentially no user intervention; control of all peripheral functions is fully automatic. Four digital images are acquired every minute, and archived on 8 mm tape, for post-processing. Approximately 1.2 gigabytes are acquired and archived per week at each site.

A sample radiance image is shown in Fig. 2. In this image, the center is at the zenith overhead, and the edges are near the horizon. The black square is the sun occultor, which shades the lens in order to minimize stray light. A tower may also be seen in the field of view near the occultor. In the processing, a determination is made at each point in the image, yielding a cloud decision image at full spatial resolution. The cloud decision image is illustrated in Fig. 3. In this illustration the areas identified as sky are blue, the occultor is black indicating a "no data" region, and the pixels identified as thin or opaque cloud are yellow and white respectively.
field spatial and temporal dynamics. The WSI acquires calibrated multi-spectral sky radiances every minute. From these, automatic cloud determinations are produced with 1/3 degree spatial resolution. The system is currently deployed at 7 sites throughout the country, and as of 1 Jan 90, had acquired an average of 14 months of data per site. The data will be further discussed in Section 3.

2.2 Real Time Cloud System

An outgrowth of the WSI is the Real Time Cloud system, which provides automatic cloud assessments in real time in the field, for on-line operational support. This system acquires sky radiances, much like the WSI. The user may input a track of interest, such as the track of a satellite or drone. The cloud determinations are then made from the measured radiances and presented to the user in color-coded image format, i.e. blue for sky, white for opaque cloud, and yellow for thin cloud as shown in Fig. 5. In the user’s display, the track is color coded by altitude, and superimposed on the image. Percent cloud cover, both total and opaque, are presented to the user. (Currently cloud cover is assessed only for the sky dome; techniques to compute cloud cover specifically along the track are in development.) If desired, the user may view previously stored images, which are video looped to display temporal changes in the cloud cover. The current system is connected by optical fiber to a WSI unit, and has its own micro-computer for independent image acquisition and display. The system is currently deployed in New Mexico, for support of the HELSTF laser site, however it has application to a wide variety of operational and test scenarios.
2.3 Portable WSI

The portable WSI unit is shown in Fig. 6. This unit, designed for short term deployments and/or special applications, is similar to the WSI, but has a more transportable support and environmental control housing. The portable system is currently installed at the University of Wisconsin, for a cooperative study with Eloranta and Grund, using their HSRL lidar system. The intent here is to relate the WSI cloud determinations to the cloud optical depth determined by the lidar system.

![Fig. 6. Portable Whole Sky Imager](image)

2.4 Night-time WSI

We have recently designed a night-time WSI, and are beginning the fabrication and test stage. This unit utilizes an image intensifier, in conjunction with a CID camera. Flux level may be controlled through a combination of voltage control on the intensifier, and variable on-chip camera integration time. Analysis of night-time sky radiances acquired by our group during the 1960’s (Duntley, 1970) indicates that the daytime cloud decision algorithms should be reasonably applicable at night, down to quarter moon illumination levels.

2.5 Horizon Scanning Imager

The Horizon Scanning Imager (HSI) is a system designed for for automated determination of sector visibility. Like the WSI, this system utilizes a CID camera, but this time with a narrow field of view and a photopically corrected response. A sequence of images around the horizon is acquired, at predetermined azimuthal angles. When the instrument is first installed, and at any time later, black targets of interest within each scene are identified. From the measured relative radiance of the targets and the horizon, the visibility is computed, using contrast transmittance theory.

This system essentially simulates the human determination of visibility, but it has several advantages. The human must theoretically have black targets (i.e. -1 inherent contrast), of given angular size, seen directly against the horizon. With the HSI, since measured radiances are compared directly, the target need not be of specific size and does not need to be directly adjacent to the horizon. And since inherent contrast is a user specified input in the computation, the requirement for a black target may be relaxed somewhat.

Numerous computations of uncertainty in the computed visibility as a function of parameters such as the target inherent contrast show that the system is most accurate when the target range is close to the limit of visibility. For this reason, the system is enabled to make an active selection of which targets to use in determining visibility, depending on the conditions that obtain at the time of measurement. This active target selection is one of the advantages this system has in comparison with staring photometers. Finally, it should be noted that unlike a point scatter meter, this system measures visibility for the integrated path of sight, and returns the visibility for all sectors.

2.6 Composite Cloud/Visibility System

Finally, in addition to the above systems, we have the composite system, which has the capabilities of both the HSI, for sector visibility determinations, and the Real Time Cloud system, for cloud field determinations. This system, currently on site at Geophysics Lab, is under development for use as an automated observing station. Our intent is to develop night-time capability for both visibility determination and cloud assessment with this system.

3.0 WSI CLOUD DATA ARCHIVE

The Whole Sky Imager has been operating routinely at several sites in the continental US for over a year. This section discusses the background of this data archive, and then reviews the data processing and cloud algorithms.

3.1 Background

The WSI data base was acquired primarily in support of the SDI ground-based laser program. A variety of models predict joint multi-site probabilities of cloud free lines of sight (CFLOS) for earth to space, and cloud free arcs (CFARC). These joint probabilities depend on a
variety of intermediate level relationships, such as CFLOS and CFARC as a function of sky cover and zenith angle, site-to-site correlation as a function of separation distance, and temporal relations such as recurrence and persistence probabilities.

The WSI data base is in many ways an ideal set for model evaluation. The model input, i.e. sky cover, is measured directly. The various intermediate level results mentioned above may be computed directly from the digitized data base, and the final multi-site joint probabilities may be computed directly from the data. As a result, WSI can provide the data base for testing existing models, development of new models, and documentation of a mini-climatology of CFLOS and CFARC at specific sites of interest.

There appears to be considerable interest in the user community in using these data in a variety of other applications. For example, there is interest in creating a climatology of optically thin cloud cover, perhaps as a function of optical depth. Additionally, the fact that absolute radiometric calibrations are acquired for the instruments means that a data base of calibrated radiance could be generated from the data, for background clutter and other applications.

3.2 Current Extent of the Data Base

We are currently archiving WSI data at seven sites: three in New Mexico, and one each in California, Montana, Missouri, and Florida. Four images are archived every minute, 12 hours a day, for approximately 87,000 images per month per site (5 gigabytes per month per site). As of 1 Jan 90, we had acquired an average of 14 months of data per site. The number of days of acquired data per site is shown in Fig. 7. Although this is a large data base, it is not as unwieldy as might be expected, due to the 2 gigabyte capacity of the 8 mm tapes. The raw data to date fits on approximately 400 cassettes, and the cloud data will fit on approximately 100 cassettes (25 with compaction). Since these data are acquired in digital format, automated quality control and data reduction processes are very fast and reproducible in comparison with photographic techniques.

Initially, we had some trouble with hardware driven system down-times. Installation of better air filters in the computers corrected the dust-caused problems with the tape drives, and installation of chillers directly on the camera housings corrected a problem with heat sensitivity in the cameras in the warmer locations. Since these upgrades were accomplished, most stations have been quite reliable. As a result, we have had at least 4 sites archiving concurrent data essentially every day since Feb 89, with 6 concurrent sites 2/3 of the time, and 7 sites yielding concurrent data 1/3 of the time.

3.3 Cloud Determination

The cloud determination sequence is illustrated in Fig. 8. We start with four measured radiances; a blue, a red, and a blue and a red trimmed with neutral density to acquire those regions which are off-scale bright in the first two filters. A variety of additional neutral densities and aperture settings are used to bring the radiances to the proper on-scale level. A number of calibrations are then applied to these four acquired radiance images. The most significant are the linearity calibration, which corrects for any non-linearity of the basic sensor, and the absolute calibration, which corrects for differences in the pass bands of the spectral filters, non-neutrality of the neutral density filters, and so on. (The resulting calibrated radiances images are not saved at this time, due to the extra processing time required.)

Ratios of red to blue radiance are then computed, including any correction for small differences in image size. The best blue/red pair to use is then selected on a pixel by pixel basis, to generate the composite ratio image. These ratios are then saved to tape in image format, for further processing.

The ratio tapes are next processed to yield the cloud tapes. At this point in time, the cloud algorithm is a very simple thresholding scheme; any pixel with a red/blue ratio above a certain value is identified as cloud. Separate thresholds distinguish thin cloud from opaque cloud. In general this scheme works quite well. The algorithm has no trouble with clouds of varying brightness (e.g. dark grey to white). Also, since the determination is
made on a pixel by pixel basis, small clouds are readily identified. Comparison of the radiance images with the processed cloud images shows that the cloud algorithm generally agrees well with the visual assessments.

We are also investigating directionally-dependent corrections to the cloud determination algorithms, based on the Hering FASCAT radiance model (Hering, 1985). Since the clear day ratios tend to be slightly higher at the horizon and near the sun, a correction which depends on scattering angle and relative air mass should enhance the accuracy of the cloud determination. It should be emphasized that an enhanced algorithm would only make small changes in the final cloud images, since the directional variance in the ratio normally is quite moderate; indeed, one would expect only selective improvement, since the fixed threshold results compare very well with standard observers, as discussed below.

4.0 WSI EVALUATION AND RESULTS

4.1 Comparison with Observer

Much of the WSI data from the Columbia site has been processed using the preliminary (fixed threshold) algorithm, in order to give a preliminary assessment of the accuracy of these techniques. Fig. 9 shows the cloud cover distribution from 7 months of WSI data, compared with the values of total sky cover reported on the National Weather Service Form 10’s. The WSI values are from the one minute image at the reported time of the weather observation. This plot is for the six hours surrounding local apparent noon. The comparison between WSI and observer is in general quite good. The cloud algorithm identifies some clear cases as 1/10 cover, but in all other cloud categories the match in frequency of observance is excellent.

Another indication of data quality is a direct case-by-case comparison between WSI and observer. For Fig. 10, the difference between WSI and observer has been computed for each case. That is, a WSI value of 7/10 and observer value of 5/10 would be a difference of 2 categories. The distribution of category differences is shown in Fig. 10. The majority of the cases show a category difference of 0. The average difference is less than half a category, i.e. much less than 1/10 cloud cover.

4.2 Specific Temporal Dynamics Case Study

Although the observer to WSI comparison is generally good, the WSI data can show much more variance
1450, both WSI and observer show approximately 20% cloud cover, but during the intervening hour the cloud cover increased to nearly 80%. The cloud images at the middle and end of this hour are shown in Figs. 13 and 14. The fastest rate of change during this hour occurred at between 1400 and 1413, when the cloud cover changed from 20 to 74%.

Fig. 10. Total Cloud Cover determination difference for WSI minus observer.

Fig. 11. Total Cloud Cover Time series, WSI and Observer

Fig. 12. Maximum Cloud Free Arc Time series, WSI

Fig. 13. WSI Cloud Cover Image at 1420, from above time series.

Fig. 14. WSI Cloud Cover Image at 1450, from above time series.

One can also see, in Figs. 13 and 14, a horizontal line part way down the image in the Northern sky. This line represents an arc traveling from horizon to horizon, rising to a 45 degree zenith angle. The maximum cloud free arc length (CFARC) along this arc has been plotted in Fig. 12. Comparing Figs. 11 and 12, one can see that in general there is a tendency for short CFARCs to occur with high cloud cover. As expected however, the maximum CFARC is not always well related to the cloud cover at a given point in time. For example, at 1450, the
cloud free arc length was quite short, in spite of the low
cloud cover. Examination of the cloud image for this
time (Fig. 14) shows that this occurred because the cloud
band happened to lie over the selected arc.

5.0 CONCLUSION

With the development of a family of digital imaging
systems, it has been possible to support a number of
applications requiring monitoring of the cloud and visi-
bility environment. The Whole Sky Imager has been
monitoring specific sites for post analysis and evalu-
atation of cloud fields, with high temporal and spatial
resolution. The Real Time Cloud system is currently
supporting the need for immediate feedback of the
cloud field over the sky dome and in specific directions,
for operational support. The portable system may be
used for short term test and evaluation programs. The
Horizon Scanning Imager can provide visibility deter-
minations, for both prevailing and sector visibilities.
And finally, the Composite system should provide both
real time cloud and visibility results, for a variety of
applications including automated weather stations.

The WSI has been used specifically to gather a data
base of over a million images for assessment of cloud
fields. The data consists of cloud decision images,
containing an assessment of the cloud condition in each
direction with 1/3 degree spatial resolution, and one
minute temporal resolution. These data are currently
undergoing processing and quality evaluation. The
preliminary results appear quite good, in comparison
with standard meteorological observations. The cloud
images may be used in several applications, including
determination of cloud free line of sight and cloud free
arc probabilities, and multi-site joint probabilities.
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